

The CDC Votes to Indict Itself on Crimes Against Americans

Gary Null & Richard Gale

Progressive Radio Network, June 29, 2010

A central principle of democracy is freedom of choice. We can choose our political party, our religion, and the food we eat, but this does not seem to be the case when it comes to our medical choices and our freedoms to make them.

The recent unanimous 11-0 vote by the members of the Centers for Disease Control's Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) favoring a nation-wide mandate of the influenza vaccine to all children over six months of age and adults is one more attempt by our federal health officials to open up our bodies to the free market capitalism of pharmaceutical industry coffers. The vote raises an alarm about our federal government's scientific integrity, and calls into question its true allegiance and purpose: to protect the health of American citizens or increase Big Pharma profits. If instituted, the US will be the only nation in the world with mandatory flu vaccination. Furthermore, if flu infection is indeed such a global life threatening peril, we should question why other countries are not following suit and seeking national mandatory programs. However, what our investigations show and what differentiates the US health agencies from the health ministries in other nations, is that in the US federal health system Big Pharma money, lobbying and corporate favors to manipulate drug and vaccine policies to benefit the industry are rampant.

This would not be the first time a mandatory influenza vaccine was tried by a nation. During the 1980s, Japan had mandatory flu vaccination for school children. Two large scale studies that enrolled children from four cities and discovered there was no difference in the incidences of flu infection. As a result, in 1987, Japanese health authorities ruled that flu vaccination was ineffective and was no more than a serious financial and legal liability if it was to continue. Therefore, the mandatory policy was quickly overturned. By 1989, the numbers of Japanese taking the flu vaccine dropped to 20 percent. A follow up study at that time found that there was statistically insignificant change in influenza infection rates compared to when the vaccine was mandatory.ⁱ

Now we are hearing that for the forthcoming 2010-2011 flu season, the H1N1 flu strain will be included in the seasonal flu vaccine. This will be a quadravalent, three predicted flu strains plus H1N1. As of this month, the World Health Organization (WHO) continues to evaluate the H1N1 virus at a 5 level pandemic and issues warnings to deaf ears now that people realize the WHO's word is disreputable. Nevertheless, we should still brace ourselves for another year of old yarn, fear-mongering, media spin and more voodoo science.

A brief overview of the past H1N1 pandemic boondoggle will help us to understand the addiction of denial permeating the ranks of the advisory committee. It presents a picture of a delusional bubble, unrelated to medical facts, that the CDC has found comfort to float within. The simple fact remains that the CDC is disconnected from anything that legitimate science thereby making their recent decision ludicrous and criminally irresponsible.

The CDC's predictions of particular strains during past flu seasons has never been shown to be especially accurate. In fact, often it has been extraordinarily dismal. The previous swine flu prediction in 1976 resulted in only one swine flu death but hundreds of people suffering permanent disabilities, including death, from the vaccine. For the 1992-1993 flu season, the prediction made for the virus used in the vaccine was off by 84 percent. For the 1994-1995 season, it was off by 43 percent for the primary strain targeted and off 87 percent and 76 percent for the other two strains. The Laboratory Center for Disease Control's study comparing vaccine strains with the strains appearing during the 1997-1998 season found the match off by 84 percent. One would achieve a greater accuracy rate by simply flipping a coin.

An article published in the prestigious *British Medical Journal* in 2005, "Are US Flu Death Figures More PR Than Science" is apropos for addressing the wildly inflated figures by the WHO and CDC to present their case for mass vaccination measures. The article begins, "US data on influenza deaths are a mess." The study reviews the CDC's own statistical data and finds numerous inconsistencies and incompatibilities between "official estimates and national vital statistics data." Although the government's predictions never came close to the "dire outcomes" stated by our health officials, the CDC's own communication strategy was marked by high levels of fear.ⁱⁱ

The US government's assessment of the past H1N1 scare is another example of flawed science and incompetence. In last August's issue of *USA Today*, the White House's Council of Advisors on Science and Technology, which receives its recommendations from the CDC, warned us that the H1N1 would kill between 30-90,000 American citizens. At the same time, the CDC was predicting 2 million people would be infected and as high as 40 percent of the entire population exposed. The WHO, which sleeps in the same bed with the CDC in their shared complexes in Atlanta, was screaming figures of 7.5 million deaths worldwide. Consequently, the FDA fast-tracked swine flu vaccines manufactured by 5 different drug makers, none which met a reliable standards of proper clinical testing and data to determine their efficacy and safety. And pregnant women, young children and the elderly were primary targets—those also most susceptible to serious vaccine adverse reactions. Over \$1.6 billion tax dollars went to Big Pharma on orders of 229 million doses, of which only 90 million were actually administered and the remaining 71 million left to decompose on shelves or dump off on poorer nations out of the graciousness of the American philanthropic spirit.

However, as we witnessed in 2009 and the early months of 2010, people woke up to the false alarm of a swine flu pandemic. Often intuition is better suited to sniff out a hoax and scandal than the pseudo-science our federal health officials give obeisance to behind closed door conference rooms. And in the case of the so-called H1N1 pandemic, intuition proved correct. Our health agencies warnings and numbers propagandized over mainstream media simply did not add up and have been consistently found to be contrary to more medically reliable and unbiased facts generated by independent sources without ties to the private vaccine manufacturers.

Whenever the CDC, the FDA and the US Department of Health and Human Services post figures, it is a prudent rule of thumb to be suspicious and investigate their accuracy. The fact of the matter is that the CDC is completely clueless about this past season's infectious rate and the number of deaths due to the H1N1 strain. Let us explain why.

Immediately following the WHO's decision in May 2009 to cease laboratory testing of samples to determine the actual biological cause of infectious cases with influenza-like symptoms, the US followed suit. Therefore, no matter what they tell you, no matter what Dr. Gupta and other tools of the media and establishment have to say, no proper testing was performed. Only PCR

technology can determine the actual subset of a Type A flu strain, such as H1N1. But PCR diagnosis was not routinely performed in order to monitor and track rates and spread of infection. By its own admission, a CDC report found that rapid influenza kits used in hospitals and clinics were wrong as much as nine out of ten times, and on average between 40-69 percent. The CDC determined that the instant tests are “not highly worthwhile for diagnosing H1N1 infections.”

So why would any organization responsible for the tracking of an infectious disease believed to be a global health threat, potentially threatening the lives of millions of people, make such a decision to not carefully monitor flu infections is beyond comprehension, unless it knowingly determined, with malice of forethought, that the H1N1 strain was mild and not a national danger. And many independent experts in infectious diseases had been stating this throughout the season but our health agencies preferred to ignore their warnings.

Yet it is the reported death rates due to H1N1 infection that seriously call the CDC’s integrity into question. According to the CDC reports, anywhere between 8,870 and 18,300 Americans died from swine flu. For the sake of simplicity, the health feds conveniently circulate the figure of 12,000 deaths.

Projections in the UK were equally off the mark. The British Ministry of Health was expecting 65,000 deaths, but reported only 500 towards the season’s end. British citizens, however, were better informed of the scandalous hoax and of the 110 million vaccine doses purchased, under contracts amounting to over \$864 million to the drug makers (not including national preparatory measures bringing the total to over \$1 billion for a small population), only 6 million Brits, approximately 10 percent, were vaccinated.

What figures does the World Health Organization report for the number of worldwide swine flu deaths? 18,036. That is correct, not millions. That is only 5 percent of the global figure for deaths associated with the regular seasonal flu. I don’t need an advanced degree to notice a grave discrepancy here, unless we are to believe that the H1N1 virus was on autopilot to target victims with American birth certificates or citizenship. But the reasons for the CDC’s erroneous numbers are quite simple to understand.

First, as mentioned, the CDC did not monitor the swine flu with any precision and accuracy. Simply, our officials don’t have, and never had, the data to make any accurate determination.

Second, the CDC does not distinguish between deaths caused by an influenza virus and deaths due to pneumonia. The two are lumped together in their mortality statistics and pneumonia-related deaths are reported as having an initial influenza cause. For example, if we take the combined figure of flu and pneumonia deaths for the flu period of 2001, and spin the figures, we are left believing that 62,034 people died from influenza. The actual figures are 61,777 died from pneumonia and only 257 from flu. Even more amazing, in those 257 cases, only 18 were scientifically identified as positive for the flu virus. These are the CDC's own figures. But does the New York Times, Boston Globe, Washington Post and all the others report this? No. Do any of the puppets that mumble on television, with access to official sources and data, actually do their homework? No. A separate study conducted by the National Center for Health Statistics for the flu periods between 1979 and 2002 reveals that the actual range of annual flu deaths were between 257 and 3006, for an average of 1,348 per year.ⁱⁱⁱ This is a far cry from the 36,000 annual flu deaths still found on the CDC's website and vomited by the major media.

And here is the catch. If we apply the same criteria to determine the actual number of swine flu related deaths in 2009-2010, serious vaccine adverse effects, besides the hundreds of reported miscarriages, would far outweigh deaths and injury due to the virus.

Third, there are over 150 different viruses during any given flu season that can cause flu-like symptoms, such as adenovirus, parainfluenza, bocavirus, etc. Very few of these are ever tested for. For example, in Canada where actual infection rates are more carefully monitored, during the 2004-2005 flu season, the Canada Communicable Disease Report showed that of the 68,849 laboratory tests performed for influenza, only 14.9% tested positive for a flu virus. All the remaining 85.1% specimens were a result of other pathogens impervious to flu vaccines.^{iv} For the following 2005-2006 season, Health Canada received 68,439 tests for influenza like infections. Of these, only 6,580, or 10.4% confirmed positive for influenza. The rest, 89.6%, were other pathogens.^v No vaccine would have benefitted or protected those almost 90 percent in Canadians.

In the US, however, the CDC relies upon an esoteric witches brew of figures based upon various mathematical algorithms and speculative projections with no sound basis in reality. On one CDC site we find evidence of their flawed methodology: "Statistical modeling was used to estimate how many flu-related deaths occurred among people whose underlying cause of death on their

death certificate was listed as a respiratory and circulatory disease.”^{vi} This is clearly an indication of policy turned dogmatic with utterly disregard for sound scientific evidence. It is all business as usual, disregard of scientific reason, and full speed ahead.

And while the brilliant minds in the CDC decide to expose all Americans to the adverse risks of influenza vaccination— Guillane-Barre Syndrome, schizophrenia, neurological disorders, miscarriages, polyneuritis,^{vii} encephalitis,^{viii} multiple sclerosis,^{ix} intense headaches suggestive or meningeal or brain irritation,^x aphasia (loss of speech),^{xi} bronchopneumonia,^{xii} sexual impotence,^{xiii} angor pectoris,^{xiv} anaphylactic reactions^{xv} and death^{xvi}—we should not lose sight of what is unfolding across the great pond in the European Union’s investigations into the CDC’s favorite bed partner, the WHO, an utterly corrupt organization at every level.

Two reports recently published have indicted the WHO for serious malfeasance and conflict in interests behind the fabrication and propagation of the 2009-2010 H1N1 swine flu pandemic and has been called a “momentous error” in global health oversight. The people at the WHO had as much accuracy in their predictions as the Bush administration did with WMD\’s in Iraq. These people should be held accountable for serious crimes that have threatened the health of millions of people worldwide.

The *British Medical Journal* printed a research paper by its Features Editor, Deborah Cohen, and Philip Carter from the Bureau of Investigative Journalism in London, charging the largest global health organization with exaggerating the H1N1 flu and being steered in their decisions and fraudulent fear campaign by the pharmaceutical industrial complex. According to the authors, “credibility of the WHO and the trust in the global public health system” has been damaged.

A second devastating preliminary report released by the Health Committee of the Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe (CE) found gross negligence and lack of transparency in the WHO’s handling of the swine flu scare. Throughout the WHO’s key advisory committees, particularly a secretive group known as the “emergency committee”, which steered the WHO’s assessment and predictions of the spread of H1N1 flu virus and announce a level 6 pandemic, were scientists entrenched in the morass of private vaccine and drug interests, particularly GlaxoSmithKline (H1N1 vaccine and Relenza anti-viral drug maker) and Tamiflu maker Roche

AG. Even worse, the WHO never publicly disclosed widespread conflict of interests. Paul Flynn, the appointed CE rapporteur for the CE's report stated, "the tentacles of drug company influence are in all levels of the decision-making process," and "they vastly over-rated the danger on bad science." Following a lengthy investigation, a preliminary report, which still awaits a final version next month, states the result of the WHO's negligence in proper oversight resulted in the "waste of large sums of public money and unjustified scares and fears about the health risks faced by the European public"

The WHO continues to withhold the names of the 16 members sitting on its secret "emergency committee." However, this week, two of the members resigned, notably Dr. John MacKenzie from Curtin University in Australia, who was the WHO advisor who first urged the organization to call a pandemic and is well known to be entangled in financial interests and investments with the pharmaceutical cartel.

So far the CDC has weathered the WHO controversy in Europe unscathed. A fundamental oversight in the CE's investigation and hearings has been the WHO as the sole target of inquiry. It ignores the role of government health agencies complicity in promulgating the H1N1 hoax and the flushing away of billions of dollars into the drug industry, especially during an economic downturn and recession. As we witness the WHO's indifference and denial of wrongdoing crumble, the question remains over whether or not the CDC was complicit in the propagandizing of the astronomically expensive H1N1 hoax. host.

Of course, the vaccine industry doesn't give a damn about the investigations. Their vaccines, anti-viral drugs, and oligarchic rule over the medical caste system makes them immune to independent international scrutiny. And we can be assured none of the lap dogs at the *New York Times*, MSNBC and other major media would expose this crime. In the shadows of this medical charade, the drug makers are laughing their way to the banks. No Big Pharma executive is sitting before investigative committees to give an accounting of corporations' role in the pandemic debacle. Instead, after scoring over \$6 billion (Associated Press, May 19, 2010) it is again business as usual and another flu season ahead to further increase their revenues.

Similar to the WHO, the CDC's Advisory Committee on Vaccination and Practice, which voted in favor of a national mandatory flu vaccination program, is equally stacked with individuals

entrenched in financial ties with the vaccine and drug makers. The Committee's Chair, Dr. Carol Baker from Baylor University, has consistently received research and educational grants and private donations from Big Pharma. She is also on the Board of Directors of the National Foundation of Infectious Diseases, a consulting body of scientists frequently wined and dined and provided perks by the pharmaceutical industrial complex. Another Baylor University committee member, Dr. Wendy Keitel, received clinical trial support from Novartis, the maker of the H1N1 vaccine most widely distributed in the US. Dr. Janet Englund at the Children's University Medical Group in Seattle received financial support for clinical trials favoring vaccines made by Medimmune (the nasal flu vaccine), Novartis, and Sanofi Pasteur. Dr. Cody Meissner received Big Pharma support through Tufts University for his supporting clinical trials for Medimmune's RSV vaccine and for participation in Wyeth's streptococcus vaccine for children, Prevnar.

To put this into greater perspective, since the FDA relies on industry-funded clinical trials and subsequent data to approve vaccines and drugs, there also appeared in the news this month an critical finding from the German Institute for Quality and Efficacy in Health Care, published in the peer-reviewed journal *Trials*. The study investigated 90 approved drugs in the US (and let us make no mistake, vaccines are drugs! In fact, the flu vaccine is listed as a Category C drug; which means there are no adequate safety studies to determine whether flu vaccination adversely affects pregnant mothers and their fetuses.^{xvii}) and discovered that 60 percent of the 900 papers were unpublished and some were concealed from the federal regulatory agencies. Forty to sixty percent omitted clinical details or changed their final analysis. Among the pharmaceutical industry studies alone, 94 percent were unpublished, and 86 percent of the university studies sponsored by drug makers remained unpublished.

What does this tell us? If they were positive results, the drug companies would without hesitation publish their findings; but if the clinical studies' results contradict their expectations negatively, thereby delaying and preventing regulatory approval and licensure of a product, then there is no incentive for their release. And they are under no regulatory obligation to publish or release them. Hence the American public is denied approximately 90 percent of the actual clinical data performed on any given drug or vaccine. The German study concludes that drug makers intentionally "conceal unfavorable results or results that do not fulfill one's

expectations.” Therefore, the vaccine and drug makers are permitted to conduct their nefarious, quack science behind closed doors with full participation and cooperation from the WHO, CDC and FDA. Of course, the CDC and FDA condone this behavior because they are completely subservient to the power and wealth of the pharmaceutical industry.

The recent CDC vote continues a tradition of denial about independent studies and reports warning of the over-exaggerated alarm and the dangers of pushing forward with an H1N1 vaccine that was not given sufficient time to prove its safety and efficacy. They even deny their own voices.

Dr. Anthony Morris is a distinguished virologist and a former Chief Vaccine Office at the FDA. His view about influenza vaccines summarizes well its efficacy. In Morris’s opinion there is no evidence that any influenza vaccine thus far developed is effective in preventing or mitigating any attack of influenza,’ Dr. Morris states, as a matter of record, “The producers of these vaccines know they are worthless, but they go on selling them anyway.”

Canada’s Vaccination Risk Awareness Network (VRAN) website is a community of physicians, researchers and vaccine researchers and journalists reporting on vaccines’ flawed promises and pseudo-science. Among all vaccines, the flu vaccine is presented with “The Most Useless Vaccine Of-All-Time Award.”

Some of the most damning evidence about the efficacy of flu vaccines was reported in two studies performed by Dr. Tom Jefferson, head of the Vaccine Field Group at the prestigious independent Cochrane Database Group, published in *The Lancet* and the prestigious *Cochrane Database Systems Review*. The first study was a systematic review of the effects of influenza vaccines in healthy children.^{xviii} The other was a review of all the available published and unpublished safety evidence available regarding flu vaccines.^{xix} The authors of the study had also contacted the lead scientists or research groups for all the efficacy and safety trial studies under their review in order to gain access to additional unpublished trial studies the corporations may possess. The conclusions are shocking. The only safety study performed with an inactivated flu vaccine was conducted in 1976. Thirty-four years ago! And that single study enrolled only 35 children aged 12-28 months. Every other subsequent inactivated flu vaccine study enrolled children 3 years or older.

Dr. Jefferson told Reuters, “Immunization of very young children is not lent support by our findings. We recorded no convincing evidence that vaccines can reduce mortality, [hospital] admissions, serious complications and community transmission of influenza. In young children below the age of 2, we could find no evidence that the vaccine was different from a placebo.”^{xx} With respect to adults, in 64 studies involving 66,000 adults, Jefferson noted, “Vaccination of healthy adults only reduced risk of influenza by 6 percent and reduced the number of missed work days by less than one day. There was no change in the number of hospitalizations compared to the non-vaccinated.”

And in another interview for the German magazine *Der Spiegel* on July 21, 2009, Jefferson concluded, “Sometimes you get the feeling that there is a whole industry almost waiting for a pandemic to occur. The WHO and public health officials, virologists and the pharmaceutical companies. They’ve built this machine around the impending pandemic. And there’s a lot of money involved, and influence, and careers, and entire institutions! And all it took was one of these viruses to mutate to start the machine grinding.”

Clearly there is no rationale for submitting the American population to a vaccine with higher risks of adverse effects than its record of efficacy in preventing infection. If the CDC’s vote withstands and becomes the law of the land, we will witness one of the largest crimes ever inflicted upon the American public, solely for corporate gain. Aside from rampant adverse effects in children, many that will not appear until their later years due to the number of toxins contained in flu vaccines, there will also be thousands of women having miscarriages. We will have entered a new medical twilight zone, where true science, responsible medical practice, and reliable public health become virtually nonexistent.

According to [Kalorama Information](#) (which will sell you their research compilation for about \$1200):

Makers of H1N1 vaccines reported sales of \$3.3 billion in 2009, according to company reports reviewed for its title, *"H1N1 'Swine Flu' Vaccine Market*

Review." Kalorama believes the contracting, production and distribution of this vaccine will be a model for future pandemic vaccines.

1.3 billion dollars), about equal to what they made in 2009. Yet total sales worldwide for H1N1 vaccine are expected to reach **2.6 billion dollars**.

Clearly, worldwide spending for H1N1 swine flu vaccine, vaccine administration and antiviral drug costs will amount to **much** more than the [4 billion dollars recently claimed](#) by an American delegation to a WHO swine flu meeting.

NEW YORK, NY -- 05/10/10 -- Actual revenues earned on H1N1 'swine flu' vaccines may not have reached the levels predicted by some optimistic industry executives and analysts last year, but the vaccines boosted the bottom line of several pharmaceutical companies in an otherwise tough year, according to [healthcare](#) market research publisher Kalorama Information. Makers of H1N1 vaccines reported sales of \$3.3 billion in 2009, according to company reports reviewed for its title, "**H1N1 'Swine Flu' Vaccine Market Review.**" Kalorama believes the contracting, production and distribution of this vaccine will be a model for future [pandemic](#) vaccines.

"Most companies have been careful to warn investors that H1N1 revenues are a one-time event," Carlson said. "We expect a quadrivalent seasonal flu vaccine and H1N1's market impact will be seen in the increase in influenza vaccine sales over past seasons."

In its report, "**H1N1 'Swine Flu' Vaccine Market Review,**" Kalorama conducts an assessment of the swine flu's impact, looks at the performance of vaccine makers last year, looks at trends in the industry and among government customers, and makes predictions about future pandemic vaccines.

There is nothing overtly illegal or criminal about the WHO ignoring transparency in its decision making committees, stacking advisors with rabid pro-vaccine advocates and shill scientists with trails of corporate pharmaceutical perks and funding to boost profits and to keep shareholders and executives smiling. This is simply their way of conducting business, like any mega-Wall Street firm and there is no rationale for believing the BMJ and Council of Europe reports will change WHO behavior. What is more dangerous is that this private vaccination cult has consistently ignored independent medical evidence, even their own unpublished and suppressed studies that are not submitted to governmental regulatory agencies, and the desire to dialogue about the efficacy and safety of vaccines that put billions of people's health at risk. The crime is an ideological one, based on scientific denialism, that vaccines are unquestionably safe and effective, and this belief is entrenched in the mindset of the WHO, the CDC and its supporters

..

.

enkantadang itom

SpamReply

□ 12:03 AM

6/7

hi my richard, ouch! we diidnot catch each other again here to online, but i am still hoping that 1 day we can see each other here both online, i am happy now to hear from you richard, i was busy also but just finished my 2 weeks simenar and i rested today with my son miles kian,

□ 12:05 AM

6/7

i got 2 days rest from work after simenar my dear richard so hope we can catch here or i hope i can catch you to online here, i miss you by the way... hows your daughter aloka? hope everything for you is fine..

□ 12:05 AM

6/7

love you

□ 12:05 AM

6/7

muahhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh

👤anya mostova

SpamReply

□ 7:13 PM

6/5

hello

□ 9:42 AM

6/6

helo.gud mrning!im at work.im not sure i cn go home at midnyt ,lunchtym ur tym.u must b so bz 4 not kepng n touch.i just hope ur stl a friend.enjox ur day w aloka!hugs and kisses to both of u.i mz u.

□ 11:45 AM

6/6

been checking u online n YM as well as DIA

□ 11:45 AM

6/6

I miss u

👤Maria Luisa Ishii

SpamReply

□ 10:09 PM

6/5

are you there?



elitdelapaz

SpamReply

□ 9:47 PM

6/5

hope to chat with you too! Leaving on the 26 see you, hope so! Tc always!



Meriam Israel

SpamReply

□ 4:56 PM

6/5

hellooo sorry i was not online the time you sent a message to me

□ 4:56 PM

6/5

i was sleepy my dear because im tired for so much work



ivygae_gregorio

SpamReply

□ 10:43 PM

6/5

Y sweet_juliena

SpamReply

□ 10:07 AM

6/8

how r u ric?

🟡anya mostova

SpamReply

□ 9:26 AM

6/8

helo.how r u?do u stl remember me?im alwaxs thnkg of u.hope we cn chat agn!im at work.txtng u fr my celphn nlyn



summer 37 orit

SpamReply

□ 6:16 AM

6/8

i miss you so bad honey

□ 6:16 AM

6/8

when you can come online ?

□ 6:16 AM

6/8

i want to see you again on cam

□ 6:16 AM

6/8

take care and god bless you



Meriam Israel

SpamReply

□ 5:26 AM

6/8

helloooo my dear..sorry i told you last time i dont have internet connection for the past 3 days due to transfer in my store..but now i have conection again

□ 5:26 AM

6/8

hope you doing well

I read your letter, there are some of my random thoughts: Whether the Chinese people in various parts of the world, they never forget they are Chinese, their root is in China, the yellow skinned people, they really never care about the country's development and changes, does this country now occur in developing this and that problem, the present leader is in place in the world and the domestic response to all kinds of things happen, whether the country is in the ancient, modern, modern and attractive to many people of all colors,

My history and world politics from the perspective of different larger world environment was chaotic in China, foreign occupation of Chinese territory in many countries, but the birth of Mao Zedong is necessary character of that era, like the birth of Marx, Engels, Lenin, George Washington, Napoleon • Bonaparte, and so on ... Like many politicians, and promote the history, the history is so forward, Mao Zedong brought countless suffering in that era of poor people's light, it the same as the United States Civil War, reunification of North and South American, then China's various nationalities together to launch a mass movement, at the time there are many rich people are fighting with Mao Zedong, there are many rich people hated Mao Zedong, how to evaluate Mao then? Both in his military theory, poetry, he always showed his magnanimity and momentum. He can always order from a macro strategy of his ideas, his military ingenious theory with practice, through the patriotic overseas Chinese and their own people, to create a new China. You know the Chinese people to unite our strength will be very powerful.

Occurred late in the decade of Mao's Cultural Revolution, one can not push him, and should produce all aspects of the historical reasons, and his personal power has been unable to stop it happening. I think future generations will be very fair to him.

I think if we do not place too much civil strife in China, and reform the lives of people all kinds of lower infrastructure, education, culture, environment, sanitation, health, medical care, prevent and fight corruption, and so a series of measures, people will be very happy living in the land here.

I hope you travel to the mainland of China and understand China, you understand China in the United States, and I know in China, the United States, is a little different,

Now you know why there are many countries in the world of civilians poor district? Especially in third world countries who, South Asia: India, Thailand, the Philippines, Nepal, Africa, Latin America: Honduras, Guatemala, and so some countries, because they often occur within the war, religious disputes, frequent military coups and authoritarian rulers, the same external forces have caused within the outbreak of war, civilians, not by a poor area can force the rich to solve their poverty of life, sometimes with their own ideas and concepts associated, the other side and their government really want to improve their lives? There are a number of civilians with their own poverty-stricken areas really want to change your life, or with only their view that this is the fate and destiny do not want to fight. Recognition of China's people say their life living here, I do not want to change it. Such a person as in real life existence. In fact all people, have feelings, a desire, sometimes that thought can occur in different life changes, it is important in how they think,

In my view, first of all there is love, then have sex, so that only people longing for sexual relations, sexual relations without love, boring and tasteless, the marriage is like this. In marriage, I worry that fear of what? No communication, do not know what each other think, the same as animals in the sexual intercourse, boring, uninteresting, this is not what I need. You need to be so?

Well, my time too late. Take good care of their own.

hello Richard daer

Your bedroom TV installed it? Do you like in the bedroom watching TV?
My bedroom TV is not installed, I like the quiet of the bedroom, Night, sometime I like reading in bed, Feel the atmosphere of the book, Heart can fly in book. Do you like reading in bed?

Now for the World Expo in Shanghai, China, Very influential, There are many people to visit, and I and daughter (MengYa) in July, to watch. Do you know the 2010 Expo it?in the china? If you know, You will come to China to watch the Expo ? oh , I very much hope you and Daughter can come to China to watch it...

I wish you and daughter to enjoy the beautiful weekend

Yours

Yihua

My dear Richard

I am very pleased, once again, read your letter, Our video, I love and joy, I also like to see your daughter, She is very cute.

Sometimes people's thinking is very contradictory objects, If two people love each other, and very natural together! Together much more naturally get along.

Happiness is on their own efforts, choosing a partner should be more understanding of each other, appropriate or inappropriate, Only will know more about each other,

After all, understanding ideas and limbs in the marriage is indispensable, there is love asexual, sex without love is not happy, happy is out of the question.

If both emotional and physical exchange of default can be relaxed and happy, that have found their happiness with each other. Both between individuals within the 1 was not met each other how far it can go? If it is to save face, the child is not a divorce or other factors, Also with each other pains,

Actually, the most important is that two people share each other's feelings, Need each other how to do that can make better sense of their own, Whether to accept each other's way, behavior, The most important thing is tolerant to each other, so that more long-term,

If two people really love each other, Trial marriage And marriage, Men and women living together is simple to sex, ...Smile...I said right?

Honey, what do you habits? Here you can say it? You are in bed to eat food? You will take the initiative to use a condom it? ...Smile... When you are tired at work, worry, tension, if the face of your physiological Sex? When your partner in your these times, she needs your Make love, you will be how to deal with her? You refuse her request or accept her request?

I looked at your photos, if lying on your arm strong, the will be very warm,
Stroking your beard, your face,... 😊

Sweet kiss and affectionate hug to you

Best wishes

Yihua

ⁱ <http://www.whale.to/vaccines/flu7.html>

ⁱⁱ Doshi, Peter. “Are US flu death figures more PR than science?” *BMJ* 2005; 331:1412 (10 December).

ⁱⁱⁱ Doshi, Peter. “Are US flu death figures more PR than science?” *BMJ* 2005; 331:1412 (10 December)

^{iv} Statement on Influenza Vaccination for the 2004-2005 Season” *Canada Communicable Disease Report*. Volume 31, ACS-6, 15 June 2005.

^v Hall, Celia (Medical Editor). “Flu Vaccines ‘Not Worth the Bother’” *The Telegraph*, UK, October 27, 2006.

^{vi} Centers for Disease Control. “Influenza death statistics”.
www.cdc.gov/flu/about/diseases/us_flu-related_deaths.htm Accessed September 24, 2009.

^{vii} Ehrengut W, Allerdist H. Über neurologische Komplikationen nach der Influenzaschutzimpfung. *Munch. Med Wschr.* 1977; 119/705-710

^{viii} Ehrengut W, Allerdist H. Über neurologische Komplikationen nach der Influenzaschutzimpfung. *Munch. Med Wschr.* 1977; 119/705-710

^{ix} Miller H, Cendrowski W, Schapira K. Multiple sclerosis and vaccinations. *BMJ.* 1967. April 22: 210-3.

^x Hennessen W, Jacob H, Quast U. Neurologische Affektionen nach Influenza Impfung. *Der Nervenarzt.* 1978. 49/90-96

-
- ^{xi} Hennessen W, Jacob H, Quast U. Neurologische Affektionen nach Influenza Impfung. *Der Nervenarzt*. 1978. 49/90-96
- ^{xii} Hennessen W, Jacob H, Quast U. Neurologische Affektionen nach Influenza Impfung. *Der Nervenarzt*. 1978. 49/90-96
- ^{xiii} Ehrengut W, Allerdist H. Über neurologische Komplikationen nach der Influenzaschutzimpfung. *Munch. Med Wschr*. 1977; 119/705-710
- ^{xiv} Hennessen W, Jacob H, Quast U. Neurologische Affektionen nach Influenza Impfung. *Der Nervenarzt*. 1978. 49/90-96
- ^{xv} Ehrengut W, Allerdist H. Über neurologische Komplikationen nach der Influenzaschutzimpfung. *Munch. Med Wschr*. 1977; 119/705-710
- ^{xvi} Wells CEC. *British Medical Journal*. 1971. 2: 755.
- ^{xvii} Neustaeder, Randal. *The Vaccine Guide*. North Atlantic Books: Berkeley, 2005.
- ^{xviii} Jefferson T, Smith S, Demicheli V, Harnden A, Rivetti A. Assessment of the efficacy and effectiveness of influenza in healthy children: systemic review. *The Lancet* 2005; 365: 773-780.
- ^{xix} Smith S, Demicheli V, Jefferson T, Harnden T, Matheson N, Di Pietrantonj C. Vaccines for preventing influenza in healthy children. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev*. 2004. 3:CD004879.
- ^{xx} Reaney, Patricia. "No Evidence Flu Shots Work for Under-2s: Study. *Reuters*, September 22, 2005; Jefferson, Tom. "Safety of influenza vaccines in children." *The Lancet*, 2005. 366:803-804.